John ty

Date Out EFB: MAY 5 1981

Product Manager 17 Gee To: TS-767 Dr. Willa Garner 111 From: Chief, Review Section No. 1 Environmental Fate Branch Attached please find the environmental fate review of: Req./File No.: 279-3014, 10182-18 Chemical: Permethrin Type Product: Insecticide Product Name: Pounce and Ambush Company Name: ICI Americas and FMC Submission Purpose: Review of field monitoring studies ACTION CODE: 570 ZBB Code: other EFB # 778,779 Date in: 3/4/81 Date Completed: MAY 5 1981 Days TAIS (level II) 3 60 Deferrals To: Y Ecological Effects Branch

Residue Chemistry Branch

X Toxicology Branch

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

ICI Americas and FMC Corp. Incorporation are requesting review of field monitoring studies as part of the requirements for conditional registration of permethrin [submission of 1/15/81]. Permethrin is currently registered under the trade name "Ambush" by ICI Americas (# 10182-18), and "Pounce" by FMC Corp. (# 279-3014).

1.2 Chemical

Common Name Trade Name Code Numbers Type

Chemical Name

:Permethrin

: Ambush

:R86557, FMC 33297, NIA33297, PP557, NRDC143

:Insecticide

:(3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl(+)-cis, trans-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate-25.6% (2 lbs ai/gal). Cis/trans ratio Min 35% (+) cis and max 65% (+) trans.

Structural formula:

Molecular formula:

C21 H20 03 C12

Chemical and Physical Properties of Active Ingredient:

Molecular weight Boiling point Vapor Pressure Density

Solubility

: 391.28

: 220 °C at 0.05 mm Hg pressure

: 1x10-6 mm Hg at 50 °C

: 1.21 g/ml

: < 0.1 ppm in water miscible in all proportions with most

organic solvents.

Physical state Color Odor Corrosive properties Chemical stability

: Liquid : Colorless : Odorless

: Non-corrosive to metals

: Unlike most other pyrethroids the compound is comparatively. stable to ultraviolet light. Unstable in highly alkaline solutions.

Chemical and Physical Properties of Amabush Formulation JFU 5054:

Miscibility

: Miscible with water, xylene, kerosene of diesel fuel in all

proportions.

Compatibility

: Appears to be compatible with a wide range of

insecticides and fungicides

Boiling point Flash point

: 172 °F : 140 °F

Vapor pressure Explosive Hazards : 24 mm Hg at 25 °C : No Known Hazard

Weight of active ingredient/gal Oxidizing/reducing agent capability

: 2± 0.05 1b : No known hazard

Viscosity

: 50-60 saybolt units/sec.

at 100 °F

Color

: Clear, straw-colored liquid : No detectable degradation of

Storage stability

Ambush formulation JFU 5054 occured after storage for one year at 5 °C, room temperature,

37 °C or 50 °C.

2.0 DISCUSSION OF DATA

Field mointoring data on the fate of permethrin was conducted by Union Carbide for ICI Americas and FMC Corportion. The report compiled on December 16, 1980, was sent to the EPA on January 15, 1981.

The purpose of this study was to assess the fate of permethrin in the hydrologic cycle after prescribed use on cotton field adjacent to a stream.

The study site was established approximately 20 miles east of Selma, AL in Autauga County. The ecosystem studied comprised a cotton field, two streams, little creek, and the Alabama River. The eastern haif of the 30 acres cotton field, had 2-5% slope and the western portion had a zero slope. Estimates of soil properties specific to the test site are shown in Table 1 a. No cover crop was planted prior to cotton. Cotton was planted on May 21, cultivated during June and July, and harvested on November 6, 1980.

Permethrin was aerially applied at 0.2 lb ai/A/application in 2 gallons of water per acre. The ICI and FMC formulations (Ambush and Pounce respectively) were alternatively applied every 5 days for a total of 15 applications.

Sampling was conducted at prescribed dates up to one week following the final application (See Table 1). Cotton foliage, soil from test cotton field, pesticide fall-through, and runoff samples from adjacent streams were collected for analysis. Additionally, duplicate water and sediment samples were collected from designated stations on days of sufficient rainfall to cause significant runoff from the cotton field.

Analytical Procedure

The method used for the analysis of water and sediment samples was a modification of PPRAM-42. The samples were extracted, cleaned up by gel permeation chromatography followed by Florisil column chromatography then analyzed by GLC/EC. Because of the low limits of determination desired, it was necesary to use a 5% diethyl ether in n-hexane for the Florisil column elution rather than 10% diethyl ether in n-hexane and then to re-Florisil the samples. This allowed limits of determination of 2 ppb for sediment and 5 ppt for water. Because of the very low water solubility of permethrin, it was assumed that any residues in the steam water samples would be associated with the suspended solids; therefore, the solids were filtered from the water and the two fractions extracted separately. The extracts were then combined for GC analysis

Results

Results in Table 2 show that the mean residues on the cotton plants collected before the 5th, 10th, and 15th applications ranged from 3.93 ppm to 7.14 ppm. Residues after the 1st, 5th, 10th, and 15th applications ranged from 7.79 ppm to 17.6 ppm. Seven and twelve days after the 15th application, the mean residues were 8.78 ppm and 4.87 ppm, repectively.

Whatman No. 42 filter paper discs placed under the leaf canopies of cotton plants immediately before each application and collected immediately after he application contained permethrin residues ranging from 0.0002 pounds per acre to 0.0430 pounds per acre with a mean of 0.0132 pounds per acre (Table 3). If it is assumed that exactly 0.2 pounds of permethrin were applied per acre, then only 6.6% of the spray penetrated the foliage and reached the soil.

In Table 4 are the residue results from soil samples collected from areas not protected by the cotton plants. The mean residues from the 6 inch x 6 inch x 3 inch samples collected immediately prior to the 5th, 10th, and 15th applications were 0.17 ppm, 0.30 ppm and 0.40 ppm, respectively. Three soil samples collected seven days after the 15 application had a mean residue of 0.22 ppm permethrin. It was assumed that these residues would be the most likely to be transported to the aquatic environment by rain runoff.

Runoff water, including the suspended solids, collected from the . rivulet running towards the test streams contained residues ranging from 3.37 ppb to 15.3 ppb (Table 5.)

Sediment samples collected from the stream bed at site S₃ on July 21 approximately 165 meters below the cotton field contained 2 ppb permethrin (Table 6). Samples collected on July 21 at the point the runoff rivulet entered the stream, 2 miles downstream, did not contain measurable residues. None of 7 sediment samples collected from 4 sampling sites on July 27 contained measurable residues. On September 2, 1980, samples were collected 25 meters, 165 meters, 2 miles and 8 miles downstream. The two samples taken nearest the field had 2 and 3 ppb permethrin, respectively. The 2 samples taken further downstream did not contain measurable residues.

Water samples colected from the test streams contained residues ranging from <0.005 ppb to 0.42 ppb (Table 6). Three samples collected near the point fd runoff (Site S₂) contained residues ranging from 0.035 ppb to 0.42 ppb. Samples collected further downstream contained residues concentrations varying from nondetectable to 0.035 ppb. It is unlikely that the permethrin detected in water at stations S4 (Little Mulberry Creek) and S5 (Alabama River) are the result of runoff from the test field.

3.0 SUMMARY

Permethrin was applied at 0.2 lb ai/A/Application by air craft at 5 days intervals for a maximum of 15 applications. The following residues were recorded:

3.1 Residues on Cotton Foliage:

After 15th application	17.6 pp	m
7 days after 15th application	8.78 pp	m
12 days after 15th application	4.87 pp	m

3.2 Fall-Through Residues [on paper discs placed under the leaf canopy].

Maximum 0.043 lb ai/A.

Average 0.0132 lb ai/A (equivalent to 6.6% of the spray that penetrated the foliage and reached the soil).

3.3 Residues on Soil Surfaces [treated areas not protected by cotton plants]:

Maximum residues from 6" x 6" x 3" soil sample was 0.4 ppm.

3.4 Residues in Runoff Water:

Maximum 15.3 ppb

3.5 Residues in Sediment [stream, 65 m. below treated field]:

Maximum

2 ppb

3.6 Water Samples [from streams adjacent to treated cotton field]:

Maximum

0.42 ppb

4.0 COMMENTS

The levels of permethrin residues detected on plant foliage, on soil, in runoff water, and in sediment are, perhaps, more meaningful to RCB, EEB and Tox. Branches. EFB has no adverse comments.

Sami Malak, Ph.D. Review Section #1

Environmental Fate Branch Hazard Evaluation Division

Table 1-a

Extimates of Soil Proportion Specific to the Test Site, Autouzo County, Alebama

· •••	Depth to Seesonal Righ Uster	Droth	Dominant USDA	Classification	TO 0	Pormon-	Available Water Capacity	Organic Matter Content	Bulk Depoity	Eracion Race
V(109	(1001)	(inches)				in/hr	inchestinch of soil		gm/cm²	ru/ue
Jones	>6.0	0-12	Sandy loam &	S.H.	A-2	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.10	0.1-0	ŧ	0.6-0.75
Shubute Assoc.		17-52	loamy mand Sandy loam Loamy mand	SH, SH-SC	A-2	2.0-6.0 6.0-20.0	0.10-0.17			
Lakeland Soils >6.0	>6.0	7-82	Loamy mand Sand	SH-SP	>-2	6.0-20.0 6.0-20.0	0.05-0.09	0.1-0	1.35-1.5*	0.75
Tromp Loamy Sand	>6.0	64-80 0-64	Loamy sand Sandy Loam	SH.SC SH.SC	N-4	6.0-20.0 0.60-2.0	0.10-0.10	0.1-6.0	1.35-1.65** 1.45-1.75** 1.50**	0.75
Wickham Loamy >6.0	>6.0	0-6	Fine loamy sand	HS	A4	0.60-2.0	0.12-0.14	0.1-2.0	1.35-1.65	0.5
. 3		6-42	and loamy sand Clay loam, loam, sandy clay loam	HL'SC'CF	A-6,	0.60-2.0	0.17-0.15		1.30-1.40	
		42-83	Coamy sand	Ж	∧- 2	2.0-6.0	0.08-0.11		Highly variable	ble

enepths 0-40", 40-80", respectively

-7-Table 1 Treatment and Sampling Schedule July-September 1980

1 2 3			
2			
			R
J	•		
4			ICI(13)
5		ICI(7)	
6	ICI-F(1)		
7		-	
8			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9			FMC(14)
10		FMC(8)	
11	FMC(2)		
12		·	
13		•	
14			F,CS-ICI-F(15)
15		ICI(9)	
16	ICI(3)		
17			•
18			
19			
20		F,CS-FMC-F(10)	
21	FMC-R(4)		F,CS
22			
23			
24			
25		ICI(11)	
26	F,CS-ICI-F(5)		
27	R		
28			
29			`
30		FMC(12)	
.31	FMC(6)	•	

Permethrin Treatment Permethrin Treatment FMC: ICI:

Foliar Sample Cotton Soil Sample Runoff Collected CS: R:

Table 2

Permethrin Residues on Cotton Plants

Repl:		Sampling Date	56	ermethrin ppma/	Repl Numl	icate per	Sampling Date	Permethrin ppma/
FlA		7/06/80		22.4	FlA	Pre	9/14/80	5.38
F2A		n		12.7	FlB	Pre	n	8.19
F3A		n	•	b/	F2A	Pre	a	0.17
			Mean	17.6	F2B	Pre	11	7.28
					F3A	Pre	. 	10.6
F1A	Pre	7/26/80		4.49	F3B	Pre	н	11.2
FlB		п		4.56			Mea	
F2A		er		4.28				
F2B		ń		5.52	FlA	Post	9/14/80	9.48
F3A		Ħ		3.77	F2A	Post	ıı	13.0
F3B		п		2.92	F3A	Post	H -	11.3
			Mean	4.26			Mea	an 11.3
FlA	Post	7/26/80		15.3	FlA		9/21/80	7.61
	Post	n n		16.3	F2A		¥	9.25
	Post	п.		12.2	F3A		н	9.48
			Mean	14.6			Mea	an 8.78
FlA	Pre	8/20/80		2.75	FlA		9/26/80	7.16
FlB	Pre	it		3.65	F2A		п	7.16
F2A	Pre			5.17	F3A		Ħ	0.29
F2B	Pre	· n		6.19			Mea	an $\overline{4.37}$
F3A	Pre	. 4		2.07				
F3B	pre	. 11		3.76				
			Mean	3.93				
FlA	Post	3/20/80		12.4		:		
	Post	tt		7.19				
	Post	et		6.69				
	Post	. tš		8.74				
	Post	र्व		5.23				
	Post	,п		6.47	** ** ** ** **	'-		
			Mean	7.79				

 $[\]underline{a}/$ All values were corrected for recovery $\underline{b}/$ Lost during analysis

Table 3

Permethrin Residues in Filter Papers

neplicate Number	Sampling Date	Permethrin (15/A)a/	Replicate Number	Sampling Date	Permethrin (1b/A)a/
1A	7/11/80	0.0373	lA	8/20/80	0.0077
	"	0.0194	2A	u	0.0018
2A	et	0.0151	3A	n	0.0046
3A		0.0131			
1.	7/16/80	0.0194	1A	8/25/80	0.0025
1A	1/10/00	0.0229	2A	×	0.0118
2A	n	0.0118	3A	n .	0.0065
3A	**	0.0110			
4 -	7/21/00	0.0168	1A	8/30/80	0.0112
1A	7/21/80	0.0103	2A	ส	0.0065
2A			3A	* a	0.0122
3A		0.0115	JA		
	m 10 5 10 0	0.0411	1A	9/04/80	0.0089
lA	7/26/80	0.0411		n	0.0423
2A		0.0092	2A	ŧi	0.0369
3A	. "	0.0115	3A		0.0303
		0 0075	1A	9/09/80	0.0082
1A	7/31/80	0.0075		7/03/00	0.0216
2A	q	0.0044	2A	n	0.0078
3A	n	0.0092	3A	•	0.0075
				0 /3 / /00	0.0430
1A	8/05/80	0.0006	lA	9/14/80	
2A	π	0.0100	2A		0.0233
3A	π	0.0092	3A	n	0.0162
کرد					
1.5	8/10/80	0.0079	1A	9/19/80	0.0018
1 <u>A</u>	0/10/00	0.0100.	3A	ж	0.0018
2A	п	0.0100			
3.A		0.0100			
• -	0 /1 = /20	0.0002	Mean		0.0132
1A	8/15/30		******		
2A		0.0034	<i>,</i> •		
3A	π	0.0051			

a/ All values were corrected for recovery.

Table 4

Permethrin Residues in Soil

Replicate Number	Sampling Date	Permethrin ppma/	Replicate Number	Sampling E Date	Permethrin poma/
CS1A CS1B CS2A CS2B CS3A CS3B	7/26/80 "" ""	0.22 0.037 0.075 0.11 0.25 0.30 lean 0.17	CS1A CS1B CS2A CS2B CS3A CS3B	8/20/80 "" "" " " " Mear	0.072 0.089 0.67 0.67 0.12 0.16
CS1B CS2B CS3B	9/14/80 " "	0.17 0.61 0.41 0.40	CS1B CS2B CS3B	9/21/80 " " Mean	0.36 0.22 0.068 0.22

a/ All values were corrected for recovery

Table 5

Permethrin Residues in Runoff Water

Sample Number	Sampling Date	Permethrin, ppb
R2AW	7/21/80	15.3
R2AW	7/27/80	13.9
R2BW	9/02/80	3.37

⁻ a/ All values were corrected for recovery.

Table 6

Permethrin Residues in Stream Sediment and Water

	S	ediment	W	ater
Sampling Date	Sample Number	Permethrin ppba/	Sample Number	Permethrin ppb <u>a</u> /
7/21/80	S2AM	<2	S2AW	0.30
и	S3AM	2	S3AW	0.032
*	S4AM	<2	S4AW	0.030
e	S5BM	<2	SSAW	0.035
		•	S5BW	0.005
7/27/80	S2AM	<2	S2AW	0.035
п	S2BM	<2	S3AW	Trace
**	S3BM	<2	S4AW	<0.005
et	S4AM	<2	S4BW	0.003
et	S4BM	<2	S5BW	0.013
,et	S5AM	<2		
п	S5BM	<2		
9/2/80	S2AM	2	S2AW	0.42
#	S3AM	2 3	S3AW	<0.005
π	S4AM	<2	S4AW	<0.0 05
π	SSAM	<2	SSAW	<0.005

a/ All values were corrected for recovery